The International Journal of Language Studies
ISSN: 3078-2244 (Online)
2026, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 107–123
DOI: https://doi.org/10.60087/ijls.v3.n4.006
Journal homepage: https://ijlangstudies.org



Beyond Copular BE: Lexical Diversity, Derivational Transformations, and Discourse Mechanics in English Existential Constructions

Ho Thi Nguyet Thanh *

**Corresponding author: Industrial University of Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Email: hothinguyetthanh@iuh.edu.vn*

Abstract

The English existential construction constitutes a fundamental grammatical and pragmatic device for introducing novel discourse entities into the shared consciousness of interlocutors. This study provides an expanded critical synthesis and linguistic analysis of English existential sentences, reassessing the traditional dichotomy between the canonical copular baseline (there + BE) and extended non-copular existential architectures. Adopting a multidimensional framework encompassing descriptive syntax, information packaging, generative-transformational derivation, and discourse pragmatics, the study systematically examines the phonetic weakening and grammatical status of unstressed introductory there, the morphosyntactic tensions governing subject-verb agreement across formal standards and colloquial proximity principles, and the systematic derivational mapping of canonical clause patterns and passive configurations into existential counterparts. Furthermore, the inquiry provides an extensive typological classification of non-copular existential predicates—subsuming verbs of existing, emergence, and spatial posture—across formal, literary, and expository registers. The operational mechanics of locative inversion ("without there" configurations) and the cognitive anchoring functions of positional adverbials are formally modeled. The findings demonstrate that existential constructions operate as highly versatile, register-sensitive instruments of informational packaging, driven by the principles of end-weight and end-focus rather than rigid copular templates. By resolving structural limitations in traditional descriptive grammars and explicating the functional continuum between dummy-headed existentials and locative inversion, this study establishes an integrated model of English existential syntax with significant implications for linguistic typology and advanced pedagogical grammar.

Keywords: Existential constructions; dummy there; non-BE existential verbs; locative inversion; information packaging; subject-verb agreement

Article information: Received: 21/06/2026; Accepted: 3/11/2026; Published: 26/11/2026

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s). Published by the International Journal of Language Studies. This is an open-access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

1. Introduction

The existential construction in the English language represents one of the most extensively scrutinized yet multifaceted syntactic configurations within functional and descriptive linguistics. At its communicative core, the existential construction operates as a specialized grammatical mechanism dedicated to information management, discourse initiation, and cognitive focalization. In everyday communication as well as formal literary prose, speakers and writers frequently encounter the necessity of bringing an entity—be it a physical object, an abstract proposition, an atmospheric phenomenon, or a human protagonist—into the communicative arena before any further attributes, predication, or thematic development can

occur. Rather than adhering to the canonical Subject-Verb-Object (SVO) sequence, where the grammatical subject is presumed to be known, accessible, or topicalized, the existential construction deliberately departs from canonical word order to prioritize the presentation of existence itself.

Historically, pedagogical grammars and early structuralist descriptions have treated the existential sentence as a relatively monolithic, formulaic device. Standard instructional models have traditionally reduced the construction to the introductory element there followed by an inflected copular verb BE, a following indefinite noun phrase representing the true semantic subject, and an optional prepositional phrase denoting spatial or temporal location (e.g., There is a book on the table). While this canonical formula accurately describes a substantial proportion of colloquial utterances, it severely underrepresents the syntactic plasticity, semantic breadth, and stylistic sophistication that characterize the English existential repertoire.

The research gap addressed in this paper stems from this theoretical oversimplification. While pedagogical treatments restrict existential sentences to copular predications, corpus-based linguistic investigations and advanced descriptive grammars (e.g., Biber et al., 1999; Downing & Locke, 1992; Jacobs, 1995; Quirk et al., 1985) demonstrate that English possesses a vast array of lexical verbs capable of functioning as existential predicates. Moreover, structural configurations omitting the introductory particle entirely—most prominently exemplified by locative inversion or 'without there' existentials—operate under parallel informational constraints yet exhibit distinct pragmatic conditions. Crucially, existing reviews have rarely synthesized the phonetic evolution of introductory there, the conflicting morphosyntactic rules of number concord, the derivational transformation of canonical clause types, the lexical typology of non-BE verbs, and the cognitive anchoring role of setting adverbials into an integrated, overarching theoretical framework.

The overarching objective of this article is to bridge this theoretical divide by providing a comprehensive, critically expanded synthesis of the English existential system. Specifically, the study addresses four core research dimensions: (1) What are the precise structural, phonological, and pragmatic properties that distinguish introductory expletive there from its locative deictic homophone? (2) How do prescriptive subject-verb concord rules interact with colloquial proximity principles and cognitive processing constraints across spoken and written registers? (3) Through what formal derivational mechanisms can Quirk et al.'s canonical clause patterns and passive configurations be systematically transformed into existential matrices? (4) How do non-BE lexical verbs (categorized into verbs of existing, emergence, and posture) and locative inversion configurations expand the communicative efficacy of the existential construction beyond the copular baseline? By resolving these questions, this paper establishes a unified theoretical model that redefines the English existential construction not as a static syntactic formula, but as a dynamic continuum of information packaging strategies.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Foundational Conceptualizations and Discourse Functions of Introductory There

Across contemporary descriptive linguistics, an overwhelming scholarly consensus affirms that the primary communicative purpose of sentence-initial there is to introduce the existence of a

novel entity into ongoing discourse. Foundational pedagogical and descriptive grammars—including Murphy (1994), Alexander (1988), Eastwood (1994), Downing and Locke (1992), Biber et al. (1999), and Hewings (1999)—converge on the observation that speakers deploy there when referring to something for the very first time. In their seminal accounts, Quirk et al. (1985) and Biber et al. (1999) emphasize that introductory there functions as an unequivocal signal of existence. Rather than attributing a property to an already recognized subject, the construction posits the presence or occurrence of an entity within the discourse universe.

Berk (1999) offers an illuminating cognitive and pragmatic analysis of this introductory mechanism. Berk observes that when interlocutors seek to point out the presence of an item or inaugurate a fresh referent, they systematically capitalize on the existential there construction. From a processing standpoint, preposing the introductory particle allows the speaker to defer the presentation of the semantic noun phrase to a later position in the clause. As Berk (1999) elucidates, this structural displacement serves a dual cognitive purpose: it relieves the pre-verbal subject position from the burden of carrying newly introduced, heavy, or indefinite conceptual material, while simultaneously alerting the listener that a focal entity is about to be named. This structural postponement aligns perfectly with Prince's (1981) taxonomy of assumed familiarity and Chafe's (1994) cognitive model of discourse activation states, wherein 'brand-new' information is cognitively easier to process when introduced in post-verbal focal positions.

2.2 Phonetic Bleaching and Grammatical Status: Expletive vs. Deictic There

A critical dimension frequently neglected in elementary pedagogical treatments concerns the phonetic, phonological, and morphological differentiation between introductory there and locative deictic there. As systematically documented by Alexander (1988), Berk (1999), and Celce-Murcia and Larsen-Freeman (1999), introductory there has undergone complete phonological bleaching and grammaticalization over centuries of syntactic evolution. While locative adverbial there retains full phonological stress, receives a diphthongal vowel realization (/ðeə(r)/), and points demonstratively to a specific spatial coordinate, introductory existential there is categorically unstressed, pronounced with a reduced schwa vowel (/ðə(r)/), and functions purely as a structural placeholder.

Because of this grammaticalization, introductory there acquires the formal syntactic properties of a true clause subject. It occupies the canonical pre-verbal subject slot in declarative clauses, inverts with the auxiliary verb in interrogative structures (e.g., Is there any remaining doubt?), replicates itself in tag questions (e.g., There is little hope left, is there?), and undergoes standard subject-to-subject raising across periphrastic matrix verbs (e.g., There seems to be an inconsistency in the calculations; There happens to be a valid explanation). Locative adverbial there, conversely, cannot fulfill these structural subject requirements without generating severe ungrammaticality.

This structural bleaching is further mirrored in the profound terminological diversity observed across linguistic literature. A review of seminal linguistic treatises reveals an array of descriptive labels assigned to introductory there, each reflecting a specific theoretical orientation. Quirk et al. (1985) designate it as 'existential there', foregrounding its semantic assertion of existence. Crystal (2008) and Radford (2004) classify it as an 'expletive' or

'pleonastic' element, emphasizing its lack of inherent semantic theta-roles. Jacobs (1995) characterizes it as a 'dummy element' or 'structural placeholder', underscoring its mechanical utility in satisfying the Extended Projection Principle (EPP) of English clause structure. Downing and Locke (1992) describe it as an 'introductory element', highlighting its pragmatic discourse-initial status, while Alexander (1988) terms it a 'filler' or 'anticipatory subject'. This terminological kaleidoscope underscores the multi-faceted nature of there, which simultaneously fulfills syntactic, cognitive, and pragmatic requirements.

2.3 The Notional Subject, Definiteness Restrictions, and Number Agreement Asymmetries

The grammatical entity introduced by the existential matrix is conventionally designated in syntactic literature as the 'notional subject' (or logical subject), in sharp contradistinction to the 'grammatical subject' (introductory there). The grammatical relationship between these two components has incited profound debate within English descriptive linguistics, particularly regarding the 'definiteness restriction' and the mechanics of subject-verb number concord.

Under canonical conditions, the notional subject of an existential sentence must be indefinite. This structural constraint, extensively formalized as the Definiteness Effect (Abbott, 1993; Milsark, 1974), stipulates that existential predicates typically reject uniquely identifiable, previously grounded definite noun phrases (*There is the car in the garage). The indefinite markers (a/an, some, zero article with plurals/uncountables, or indefinite quantifiers such as many, few, several) signal to the interlocutor that the referent cannot be recovered from antecedent discourse. However, as Leech and Svartvik (1994) and Berk (1999) meticulously observe, exceptions to the definiteness restriction emerge in specific communicative registers, most notably in 'list existentials' or contextual reminders. When an interlocutor asks, 'Who can assist with this project?', a speaker may legitimately respond, 'Well, there is John, and there is Mary'. In such contexts, the sentence does not assert the existential reality of John or Mary; rather, it introduces their immediate availability or relevance as candidate solutions to an ongoing communicative problem.

The second major theoretical conundrum centers on subject-verb agreement. In strict prescriptive grammar, the copula BE must concord in number with the following notional subject, because the post-verbal noun phrase is historically and semantically the true argument of the predicate (Quirk et al., 1985). Thus, prescriptive standards mandate: There is a problem (singular) versus There are several problems (plural). However, empirical descriptive evidence compiled by Biber et al. (1999), Eastwood (1994), and Alexander (1988) demonstrates a monumental rift between prescriptive dogma and actual sociolinguistic practice, especially in spoken English.

In colloquial spoken English, the invariant contracted form there's (/ðəz/) has achieved near-universal dominance as a generalized existential marker, appearing systematically before plural notional subjects (e.g., There's three people waiting outside). Biber et al. (1999), in their comprehensive corpus findings from the Longman Grammar of Spoken and Written English, establish that there's before plurals accounts for a massive proportion of existential utterances in natural conversation across both British and American dialects. Cognitive linguists account for this phenomenon through processing efficiency: in real-time spontaneous speech production, speakers utter the prefabricated frozen cluster there's before formulating the lexical

details or numerical specification of the forthcoming noun phrase. Furthermore, when the notional subject comprises a compound coordinate noun phrase, English syntax adheres to the 'Principle of Proximity' (Quirk et al., 1985), whereby the verb concords strictly with the immediately adjacent noun phrase (e.g., There is a pen and two notebooks on the desk versus There are two notebooks and a pen on the desk). Recent learner-corpus evidence shows the practical weight of this agreement variation: in a corpus of 64 writing scripts by Hong Kong secondary-school EFL learners, Cheng (2024) found that overgeneration of the there + BE structure and subject–verb agreement were the principal error types in the learners' existential sentences, with disagreement typically involving a singular verb before a plural notional subject.

2.4 Derivational Mechanics: Transforming Quirk et al.'s Canonical Clause Patterns and Passives

One of the crowning achievements of structural-transformational grammar was the formalization of existential sentences as derivational counterparts to basic non-existential clause types. In their monumental Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language, Quirk et al. (1985; see also Quirk et al., 1972) demonstrated that existential there clauses do not represent idiosyncratic anomalies; rather, they can be systematically derived through a transformational mapping that transposes standard clause patterns into existential matrices.

According to Quirk et al. (1985), when a basic clause contains an indefinite subject and a copular or intransitive verb, English grammar permits the insertion of dummy there into the structural subject slot. The original subject is displaced to the post-verbal position, undergoing a demotion in syntactic prominence while simultaneously acquiring communicative end-focus. This transformational process operates systematically across Quirk et al.'s seven basic clause types (SVC, SVA, SV, SVO, SVOO, SVOC, SVOA) as well as passive configurations. In SVC constructions, a sentence such as 'Something must be wrong' is transformed into 'There must be something wrong'. In SVA patterns, 'Was anyone in the vicinity?' becomes 'Was there anyone in the vicinity?'. Even in SV intransitive patterns, 'A peaceful atmosphere reigned' converts to 'There reigned a peaceful atmosphere'.

Furthermore, passive voice configurations exhibit profound communicative synergy with existential derivation. In standard passive clauses containing indefinite subjects, such as 'No mistakes were found in the document' or 'Several candidates were interviewed by the committee', the transformational insertion of there yields 'There were no mistakes found in the document' and 'There were several candidates interviewed by the committee'. By displacing the indefinite patient or theme to the post-verbal position, the language successfully avoids clause-initial indefinite noun phrases, adhering to the overarching pragmatic principle that sentences should flow from established thematic ground to novel informational focus (Halliday & Matthiessen, 2014).

2.5 Beyond Copular BE: Lexical Diversification and Unaccusative Verb Typology

While standard pedagogical curricula remain predominantly fixated on the there + BE formula, an impressive cohort of advanced grammarians—including Eastwood (1994), Leech and Svartvik (1994), Jacobs (1995), Downing and Locke (1992), Biber et al. (1999), and Quirk et

al. (1985)—demonstrate that the existential construction accommodates a diverse, highly expressive inventory of non-BE lexical verbs. These non-BE existentials are especially prominent in descriptive narrative, expository discourse, and formal academic prose, where the communicative requirement extends beyond asserting bare existence to characterizing the manner, state, or emergent nature of the entity's presence.

Syntactically and semantically, non-BE existential predicates can be classified into three distinct semantic classes: (1) Verbs of Remaining, Continuing, and Existing; (2) Verbs of Emergence, Occurrence, and Inception; and (3) Verbs of Posture, Configuration, and Spatial Extension. In each case, the verb must be intransitive (or used intransitively) and possess an 'unaccusative' argument structure, meaning that the single syntactic argument possesses the semantic properties of an undergoer or theme rather than an active agent (Levin & Rappaport Hovav, 1995).

The first semantic category, verbs of remaining and existing, is exemplified by predicates such as exist, remain, live, lie, and stay. For instance, in scientific or philosophical exposition, one regularly encounters constructions such as: 'There exists an undeniable discrepancy between the two models' or 'There remained several unresolved inquiries'. Here, exist and remain perform the basic copular function of asserting presence, but infuse the predication with modal certainty, persistence over time, or ontological weight (Downing & Locke, 1992).

The second category, verbs of emergence and motion, incorporates predicates such as arise, occur, appear, emerge, enter, come, arrive, and follow. Examples from literary prose include: 'Suddenly, there entered three official envoys through the grand portal' or 'There followed a period of intense intellectual ferment'. In these sentences, the existential matrix does not merely signal static location; it dynamically registers the perceptual arrival of the entity into the observer's visual or conceptual horizon (Jacobs, 1995). The preposed there functions as a theatrical curtain-raiser, staging the discourse moment for the climactic appearance of the notional subject.

The third category comprises verbs of posture and spatial configuration, encompassing stand, hang, sit, lie, stretch, and tower. Biber et al. (1999) highlight that fiction and descriptive journalism rely heavily on these verbs to construct vivid spatial representations: 'There stood an ancient oak tree beside the river', 'There hung a thick mist over the valley'. In such predications, the verb combines the existential assertion with physical orientation, grounding the entity within an explicit landscape.

2.6 Locative Inversion ("Without There" Configurations) and Structural Ergativity

The structural periphery of existential linguistics expands still further when examining existential constructions that dispense with introductory there entirely. Known in generative and functional syntax as 'Locative Inversion' or 'existentials without there', these constructions invert the canonical Subject-Verb sequence following a fronted locative prepositional phrase or directional adverbial (Birner, 1994; Bresnan, 1994; Quirk et al., 1985).

In sentences such as West's literary illustration 'In the street was the babble of many voices' or 'Behind the counter stood an elderly woman', the fronted locative phrase ('In the street', 'Behind the counter') assumes the structural and pragmatic role normally executed by introductory there.

As Birner (1994) rigorously demonstrates in her discourse-functional investigations of inversion, locative inversion is governed by a strict informational constraint: the fronted locative phrase must represent relatively familiar, discourse-old information (establishing a physical setting already activated in the interlocutor's mental model), whereas the post-verbal inverted subject must represent completely novel, discourse-new information. Recent work has refined this picture from two directions. Experimental evidence from an acceptability-judgment task and a forced-choice task shows that locative inversion does not differ from PP topicalization in permitting a quantifier in the fronted phrase to bind a pronoun in the subject, and that it does not differ from a minimally different sentence with overt expletive there, a result that supports a null-expletive analysis of locative inversion (Bruening, 2022). At the same time, Sluckin (2024) argues that locative inversion is an inherently evidential construction whose subject requirement is satisfied by a covert, logophoric perceiver argument rather than by an expletive; on this account, unlike inversion under there, locative inversion does not trigger definiteness effects, is largely restricted to unaccusatives of speaker-directed movement or orientation, and is incompatible with negation, do-support, and the present perfect.

2.7 Spatio-Temporal Setting Anchors and Positional Mobility

Furthermore, this structural alternation highlights the profound cognitive utility of temporal and spatial 'setting anchors'. Traditional models of existential sentences, by focusing exclusively on the internal there + BE + NP nexus, habitually treated adverbial adjuncts as extraneous, decorative elements. In reality, as modern cognitive linguistics demonstrates, human perception requires spatial-temporal coordinates to contextualize newly introduced entities. Adverbial phrases exhibit remarkable positional mobility, appearing in clause-initial position to establish an overarching referential frame (e.g., 'In the middle of the room, there stood a magnificent mahogany desk'), in clause-medial position to qualify the predicate, or in clause-final position to deliver grounding after the entity has been named (e.g., 'There was an eerie silence in the corridor').

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design and Conceptual Framework

To achieve a comprehensive, rigorous synthesis of English existential constructions, this study employs a qualitative, comparative descriptive methodology grounded in structural, transformational, and discourse-functional linguistics. The analytical framework synthesizes theoretical formulations from classical descriptive grammars (e.g., Alexander, 1988; Eastwood, 1994; Quirk et al., 1972, 1985), generative and transformational paradigms (Milsark, 1974; Radford, 2004), and empirical corpus linguistics (Biber et al., 1999; Downing & Locke, 1992; Leech & Svartvik, 1994).

3.2 Data Corpus and Synthesis Protocol

The corpus material for this critical review comprises authentic structural patterns, grammatical exemplars, and corpus-attested instances compiled across nine authoritative linguistic treatises, reference grammars, and corpus investigations: Quirk et al. (1972, 1985), Biber et al. (1999), Leech and Svartvik (1994), Downing and Locke (1992), Eastwood (1994), Alexander (1988),

Jacobs (1995), Berk (1999), and Celce-Murcia and Larsen-Freeman (1999). Each structural variation was coded for syntactic pattern, verb type, agreement paradigm, information packaging structure, and register distribution. To situate the synthesis within current scholarship, five recent peer-reviewed studies published between 2022 and 2025 (Bruening, 2022; Cheng, 2024; Jiang & Zhang, 2022; Kircili et al., 2025; Sluckin, 2024) were additionally consulted; they were used to contextualize and qualify the descriptive synthesis rather than as primary data sources.

3.3 Analytical Procedures

The analytical procedure was structured across four distinct phases: (1) Morphosyntactic and Phonetic Deconstruction: isolating the lexical, phonetic, and distributional criteria distinguishing introductory there from its locative deictic counterpart; (2) Derivational Matrix Mapping: systematically executing the transformational conversion of Quirk et al.'s seven canonical clause patterns and passive configurations into their existential realizations, identifying constraints on subject displacement and copular insertion; (3) Lexical Typology Formulation: compiling and cross-classifying non-BE verbs identified across authoritative grammar treatises into rigorous semantic cohorts (existence, emergence, posture); and (4) Register and Inversion Synthesis: evaluating the pragmatic and informational constraints governing full locative inversion versus expletive-headed existentials across spoken, academic, and literary registers.

4. Results

4.1 Systematic Mapping of Canonical Clause Transformations

The derivational mapping of non-existential source clauses into there-inserted existential constructions demonstrates that existential transformations are not random structural mutations, but rule-governed transpositions designed to optimize informational flow. Table 1 provides the formalization of Quirk et al.'s seven basic clause types and passive configurations, illustrating the precise syntactic modifications that occur when an indefinite subject is evacuated from clause-initial position.

Table 1. Systematic Derivational Conversion of Quirk et al.'s Seven Basic Clause Types and Passives into Existential Sentences

Clause Pattern Type	Non-Existential Source Clause	Transformed Existential Construction
1. Type SVC (Subject-Verb-Subject Complement)	Something must be wrong.	There must be something wrong.
2. Type SVA (Subject-Verb-Adverbial)	Was anyone in the vicinity?	Was there anyone in the vicinity?
3. Type SV (Subject-Verb Intransitive)	No two opinions could exist.	There could exist no two opinions.

Clause Pattern Type	Non-Existential Source Clause	Transformed Existential Construction
4. Type SVO (Subject-Verb-Direct Object)	Plenty of people are wanting work.	There are plenty of people wanting work.
5. Type SVOO (Subject-Verb-Indirect-Direct Object)	Something is giving him trouble.	There is something giving him trouble.
6. Type SVOC (Subject-Verb-Object-Object Complement)	Two extra players are making the party eleven.	There are two extra players making the party eleven.
7. Type SVOA (Subject-Verb-Object-Adverbial)	A group of delegates was sitting around the table.	There was a group of delegates sitting around the table.
8. Passive Voice (Transitive Theme Inversion)	No mistakes were found in the audit report.	There were no mistakes found in the audit report.
9. Agentless Passive (Event Presentation)	A loud knock was heard at the front door.	There was a loud knock heard at the front door.

The critical structural revelation demonstrated in Table 1 is that the insertion of introductory there consistently serves to unburden the initial sentence position. By shifting the semantic agent, theme, or experiencer into a post-copular slot, the construction aligns the sentence with the communicative Principle of End-Weight (placing syntactically complex elements toward the clause conclusion) and End-Focus (placing communicative novelty at the end of the information unit).

4.2 Typological Inventory of Non-BE Existential Verbs across Registers

The empirical analysis reveals that the repertory of English existential verbs encompasses far more than the copular BE. Through an exhaustive synthesis of descriptive grammars (Biber et al., 1999; Downing & Locke, 1992; Eastwood, 1994; Jacobs, 1995; Leech & Svartvik, 1994; Quirk et al., 1985), thirty distinct lexical verbs were identified that systematically project existential structures. Table 2 categorizes these predicates into their overarching semantic classes, specifies their morphological sub-types (simple vs. phrasal/prepositional), and presents authentic exemplar realizations.

Table 2. Typological Classification and Exemplification of Non-BE Existential Verbs in English

Semantic Category	Lexical Predicates	Exemplar Construction	Register Distribution
1. Verbs of Existing and Remaining	exist, remain, live, stay, survive, persist	There exists an unmistakable discrepancy between the theoretical postulates and observed data.	Academic prose, scientific discourse, formal exposition
2. Verbs of Emergence and Appearance	appear, emerge, develop, surface, arise, loom	Presently, there emerged from behind the ridge a massive stone monolith.	Literary narrative, descriptive prose, historical chronicles
3. Verbs of Inception and Motion	occur, happen, take place, enter, arrive, come	Suddenly, there entered three official envoys through the grand portal.	Dramatic storytelling, fiction, theatrical stage directions
4. Verbs of Posture and Stance	stand, sit, lie, rest, sprawl, recline	Upon the crest of the hill, there stood an ancient solitary stone cross.	Descriptive literature, poetic narrative, architectural travelogues
5. Verbs of Spatial Suspension and Extension	hang, stretch, extend, spread, hover	Above the tranquil waters of the lake, there hung a dense blanket of morning mist.	Atmospheric fiction, landscape description, journalism
6. Two-Word Phrasal Predicates	turn up, come along, spring up, pass by	In the following decade, there sprang up dozens of independent research institutes.	Informal to semi-formal narrative, historical overviews

As Table 2 demonstrates, non-BE existential predicates display a high degree of register specialization. While copular BE dominates spontaneous conversation, verbs of posture and emergence are concentrated in literary and formal academic prose, where descriptive precision is paramount.

4.3 Structural Divergence: Expletive There vs. Locative Inversion

A critical structural distinction highlighted by the research data concerns the operational mechanism of existentials containing introductory there versus locative inversion configurations that dispense with there entirely. Table 3 presents a comparative

multidimensional matrix contrasting the syntactic, phonetic, and discourse-functional characteristics of both constructions.

Table 3. Multidimensional Comparison: Canonical Dummy-There Existentials vs. Locative Inversion Existentials

Analytical Dimension	Dummy-There Existential	Locative Inversion ("Without There")	Linguistic Implications
Clause-Initial Structural Anchor	Expletive particle there (/ðə(r)/), phonetically bleached and unstressed.	Full prepositional locative phrase or spatial directional adverbial.	Locative inversion requires prior discourse-grounded spatial frame.
Syntactic Subject Status	There occupies grammatical subject slot (inverts in tags and questions).	Fronted PP occupies pre-verbal topic slot; post-verbal NP retains argumenthood.	Inversion exhibits non-canonical subject-verb order without dummy support.
Predicate Selectivity	Permits copular BE, modal compounds, aspectual periphrastics, and lexical verbs.	Strictly restricted to intransitive unaccusative verbs of posture, stance, and motion.	Transitive verbs and agentive dynamics categorically block locative inversion.
Information Packaging Dynamic	Introduces indefinite entity into mental space without antecedent anchoring.	Connects prior discourse (via fronted PP) to novel climactic entity (post-verbal NP).	Locative inversion serves an explicit cohesive bridging function across sentences.
Register and Textual Frequency	Ubiquitous across all English registers (colloquial speech, journalism, academia).	Heavily concentrated in literary prose, dramatic narration, and poetic discourse.	Reflects elevated stylistic register and conscious narrative staging.

Table 3 illustrates that locative inversion and there-headed existentials are functionally complementary rather than synonymous: while there-existentials generate out-of-the-blue discourse entities, locative inversion acts as a thematic hinge linking established physical terrain to newly spotlighted referents.

4.4 Positional Distribution and Functional Roles of Setting Adverbials

Table 4 presents a systematic taxonomy of spatial and temporal setting adverbials across their three canonical syntactic positions—initial, medial, and final. By mapping their cognitive processing functions against authentic syntactic structures, the analysis demonstrates how adverbial placement orchestrates reader perspective and thematic coherence.

Table 4. Positional Distribution and Cognitive-Pragmatic Functions of Spatial-Temporal Setting Anchors

Syntactic Position	Cognitive-Pragmatic Function	Authentic Structural Exemplar	Discourse Processing Effect
Clause-Initial Position (Fronted Setting)	Macro-thematic framing; establishes the mental coordinates before entity presentation.	Once there was a man named Sium; In the courtyard, there stood an ancient fountain.	Activates the situational canvas; prepares the addressee's cognitive horizon for referent insertion.
Clause-Medial Position (Interposed Setting)	Local contextual qualification; closely modulates the copula or non-BE predicate.	There was once a profound misunderstanding; There suddenly appeared in the sky a dazzling light.	Provides dramatic timing, temporal immediacy, or manner modification directly to the assertion.
Clause-Final Position (Grounding Setting)	Post-entity anchoring; supplies physical or temporal location after referent is spotlighted.	There were three delegates seated at the table; There remained little doubt in the community.	Ensures primary focal prominence falls on the notional subject, followed by grounding spatial details.

Table 4 confirms that spatial and temporal setting anchors are functionally integrated components of the existential system, dynamically modulating the perspective through which interlocutors perceive newly introduced information.

5. Discussion

5.1 Reconciling Prescriptive Grammars with Spontaneous Spoken Reality

The results of this synthesis provide crucial insights into the enduring tension between prescriptive grammatical mandates and empirical sociolinguistic behavior. For centuries, pedagogical authorities have insisted that the number inflection of the existential copula must be determined solely by the post-verbal notional subject (Quirk et al., 1985). Under this dogma, sentences such as *There's three children in the garden* are categorically proscribed as grammatical barbarisms. However, our findings, corroborated by extensive corpus linguistic research (Biber et al., 1999; Leech & Svartvik, 1994), reveal that such contractions reflect deep-seated cognitive processing adaptations rather than linguistic degeneration. Such variability is not confined to a single variety of English: a multifactorial comparison of spoken Indian English, Sri Lankan English, and British English shows that socio-biographic and structural predictors guide speakers' choice between initial and non-initial existential there constructions, and that the proportion of non-initial constructions differs significantly across the three varieties, with Indian English using them more frequently than Sri Lankan and British English (Kircili et al., 2025).

In online, real-time spoken language production, cognitive working memory operates under severe temporal constraints. When a speaker begins an utterance with introductory there, the conceptualization of the entity may still be incomplete. The prefabricated phonetic chunk /ðəz/ (there's) acts as an effortless procedural marker that secures conversational floor time, asserts existential reality, and signals the forthcoming presentation of a noun phrase before its morphosyntactic number is computationally resolved. In formal writing, by contrast, where production is offline and subject to meticulous revision, prescriptive concordance rules continue to govern textual output. Recognizing this register-based bifurcation is vital for modern pedagogical grammar, which must teach students to navigate the boundary between prescriptive written standards and natural spoken idioms.

5.2 Theoretical Modeling of Information Packaging, Communicative Dynamism, and End-Weight

From the perspective of Prague School functional sentence perspective (Firbas, 1992) and modern information packaging theory (Lambrecht, 1994; Vallduví, 1992), English exhibits a profound systemic preference for the Communicative Dynamism gradient: clauses naturally progress from low communicative dynamism (theme, given information) to high communicative dynamism (rheme, focus, novel information). Canonical English clause structure, however, imposes an EPP requirement that forces every finite clause to possess a structural subject in initial position.

When a speaker wishes to introduce a brand-new entity that lacks thematic continuity with preceding discourse, inserting that entity into the pre-verbal subject position violates the information structure principle (resulting in awkward, cognitively jarring clauses such as 'A strange gentleman wearing a green cloak was standing at the entrance'). The existential construction resolves this systemic conflict with elegant architectural efficiency: it satisfies the structural requirement of English syntax by inserting a semantically empty placeholder (there) into the initial subject slot, thereby freeing the post-verbal focal position to receive the brand-new, syntactically complex notional subject. The existential transformation is therefore fundamentally an information packaging instrument that harmonizes the competing demands of structural syntax and cognitive pragmatics.

5.3 Cognitive Anchoring and Discourse Cohesion in Narrative Prose

A major limitation identified in early structuralist treatments was the marginalization of spatial and temporal adverbials. Our analysis demonstrates that adverbials are not merely optional syntactic adjuncts; rather, they serve as indispensable cognitive setting anchors. When an existential assertion is formulated, the introduced entity cannot exist in an ontological vacuum; it must be situated within a spatio-temporal coordinate system.

The syntactic positioning of these adverbials fundamentally alters their discourse-pragmatic function. When an adverbial is placed in clause-initial position (e.g., 'In Victorian London, there lived an eccentric clockmaker'), it functions as an overarching cognitive macro-frame. Interlocutors establish a mental canvas defined by the spatial-temporal parameters before processing the entity. When the adverbial is placed clause-finally (e.g., 'There lived an eccentric clockmaker in Victorian London'), the entity is spotlighted first, and the spatial coordinates

provide subsequent grounding. In literary locative inversion, where the adverbial precedes the verb and there is completely elided, the setting itself becomes the thematic springboard that propels the new protagonist into narrative focus.

5.4 Pedagogical Implications for Advanced Academic Writing and Second Language Acquisition

The findings of this expanded synthesis have profound implications for second language acquisition (SLA) and English language teaching (ELT). For decades, ELT materials have confined instruction on existentials to elementary-level 'there is / there are' drills, presenting the construction as an isolated grammatical curiosity. Consequently, advanced EFL learners frequently struggle to produce cohesive narrative prose, overusing static SVO constructions with awkward indefinite subjects while avoiding non-BE existential verbs and locative inversion altogether. Learner research complements this view: in a mixed-method study of 300 Chinese learners of English and 20 native speakers, Jiang and Zhang (2022) found that learners overproduced existential constructions relative to the native speakers and attained native-like production only at the advanced stage, attributing non-native production to L1 negative transfer and input frequency, and non-native comprehension to underspecified form–function mapping, input frequency, and context.

By integrating the findings of this study into advanced pedagogical curricula, educators can guide learners toward mastering the full expressive continuum of existential structures. Advanced learners must be explicitly taught that verbs of posture (stand, lie, hang) and emergence (appear, arise, emerge) allow for sophisticated academic and literary exposition, enabling them to construct dynamic, cohesive texts that balance end-weight, end-focus, and stylistic nuance.

5.5 Limitations of Current Descriptive Frameworks

Notwithstanding the comprehensive coverage achieved in this review, several theoretical and empirical limitations persist across existing descriptive frameworks. First, historical-descriptive grammars frequently disagree on the precise syntactic constituency of post-verbal participle clauses—specifically, whether sequences such as 'There were two delegates sitting in the hall' should be analyzed as an integrated noun phrase with a reduced relative clause or as an existential matrix with a predication adjunct. Second, while corpus linguistics has documented frequency distributions across general genres, specialized academic sub-registers (such as legal discourse or experimental scientific reports) remain insufficiently investigated regarding their deployment of non-BE existentials.

6. Conclusion

6.1 Principal Synthesized Insights

This critical review article has undertaken an exhaustive synthesis of the English existential construction, interrogating both the canonical copular baseline and the rich repertoire of extended, non-copular existential architectures. By tracing the phonological weakening and syntactic bleaching of introductory there, the study has clarified its status as an expletive structural placeholder dedicated to discourse management. The investigation has elucidated the

ongoing grammatical tension between prescriptive subject-verb concord and spoken proximity principles, demonstrating that colloquial there's represents a functional processing adaptation to real-time speech constraints.

Furthermore, by formalizing the systematic derivational mapping of Quirk et al.'s seven basic clause patterns and passive configurations into existential matrices, the study has demonstrated how the construction systematically unburdens sentence-initial position to fulfill the principles of end-weight and end-focus. The typological classification of thirty non-BE existential verbs across predicates of existing, emergence, and posture proves that the construction serves as a versatile vehicle for vivid descriptive and academic exposition. Finally, the analysis of locative inversion has established that existentiality in English operates along a continuum—from dummy-headed expletives to setting-anchored inversions.

6.2 Directions for Future Corpus-Linguistic and Cross-Linguistic Inquiries

Future research should leverage large-scale, multi-genre digital corpora to conduct fine-grained diachronic investigations into the evolution of non-BE existentials across the 20th and 21st centuries. In particular, comparative cross-linguistic studies examining existential constructions in English against typologically diverse languages (such as topic-prominent Asian languages like Vietnamese or pro-drop Romance languages) will provide invaluable empirical evidence regarding universal versus language-specific information packaging strategies.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express profound gratitude to the academic colleagues and peer reviewers whose insightful commentary and critical perspectives greatly enhanced the theoretical and structural rigor of this manuscript.

Funding

This research received no external funding.

Author Contributions

Ho Thi Nguyet Thanh: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Project Administration, Supervision. The author has read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Ethics Statement

Not applicable. This study is a theoretical and descriptive linguistic review that did not involve human participants, animal subjects, or sensitive personal data.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new empirical datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study; all synthesized materials, grammatical patterns, and linguistic citations are derived from published treatises cited within the text.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies

The author declares that no generative AI tools were used in the conception, theoretical analysis, or primary intellectual synthesis of this research. Computational processing was employed strictly for formatting, automated text layout, and structural verification against the journal's publication template. The author remains fully responsible for the entire content, integrity, and scholarly accuracy of the manuscript.

References

Abbott, B. (1993). A pragmatic account of the definiteness effect in existential sentences. *Journal of Pragmatics, 19*(1), 39–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-2166(93)90069-2

Alexander, L. G. (1988). *Longman English grammar*. Longman.

Berk, L. M. (1999). *English syntax: From word to discourse*. Oxford University Press.

Biber, D., Johansson, S., Leech, G., Conrad, S., & Finegan, E. (1999). *Longman grammar of spoken and written English*. Longman.

Birner, B. J. (1994). Information status and word order: An analysis of English inversion. *Language, 70*(2), 233–259. https://doi.org/10.2307/415828

Bresnan, J. (1994). Locative inversion and the architecture of universal grammar. *Language, 70*(1), 72–131. https://doi.org/10.2307/416741

Bruening, B. (2022). Locative inversion, PP topicalization, and weak crossover in English. *Journal of Linguistics, 58*(4), 739–757. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022226721000414

Celce-Murcia, M., & Larsen-Freeman, D. (1999). *The grammar book: An ESL/EFL teacher's course* (2nd ed.). Heinle & Heinle.

Chafe, W. (1994). *Discourse, consciousness, and time: The flow and displacement of conscious experience in speaking and writing*. University of Chicago Press.

Cheng, A. (2024). A corpus-based analysis of Hong Kong EFL learners' use of existential constructions. *TESOL Journal, 15*(1), Article e753. https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.753

Crystal, D. (2008). *A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics* (6th ed.). Blackwell Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444302776

Downing, A., & Locke, P. (1992). *A university course in English grammar*. Prentice Hall.

Eastwood, J. (1994). *Oxford guide to English grammar*. Oxford University Press.

Firbas, J. (1992). *Functional sentence perspective in written and spoken communication*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511597817

Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). *Halliday's introduction to functional grammar* (4th ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203431269

Hewings, M. (1999). *Advanced grammar in use*. Cambridge University Press.

Jacobs, R. A. (1995). *English syntax: A grammar for English language professionals*. Oxford University Press.

Jiang, S., & Zhang, H. (2022). The features and factors in the acquisition of English existential constructions at the syntax–pragmatics interface by Chinese learners. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, Article 983547. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.983547

Kircili, K., Degenhardt, J., Bernaisch, T., & Götz, S. (2025). The existential there construction in spoken South Asian Englishes. *Asian Englishes, 27*(1), 105–122. https://doi.org/10.1080/13488678.2024.2390240

Lambrecht, K. (1994). *Information structure and sentence form: Topic, focus, and the mental representations of discourse referents*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511620607

Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1994). *A communicative grammar of English* (2nd ed.). Longman.

Levin, B., & Rappaport Hovav, M. (1995). *Unaccusativity: At the syntax–lexical semantics interface*. MIT Press.

Milsark, G. L. (1974). *Existential sentences in English* [Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology]. DSpace@MIT. https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/13021

Murphy, R. (1994). *English grammar in use: A self-study reference and practice book for intermediate students* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Prince, E. F. (1981). Toward a taxonomy of given-new information. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Radical pragmatics* (pp. 223–255). Academic Press.

Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1972). *A grammar of contemporary English*. Longman.

Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). *A comprehensive grammar of the English language*. Longman.

Radford, A. (2004). *Minimalist syntax: Exploring the structure of English*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511811319

Sluckin, B. L. (2024). The covert perceiver in English locative inversion: An alternative to expletive *pro*. *Evolutionary Linguistic Theory, 6*(1–2), 192–243. https://doi.org/10.1075/elt.00058.slu

Vallduví, E. (1992). *The informational component*. Garland.